```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 2
                      WESTERN DIVISION.
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Docket No. 12 CR 50027
 4
            Plaintiff.
                                   Rockford, Illinois
                                   Wednesday, November 14, 2012
                                   9:00 o'clock a.m.
 5
            ٧.
6
    RITA A. CRUNDWELL,
 7
            Defendant.
8
                      REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
9
           BEFORE THE HONORABLE PHILIP G. REINHARD
10
    APPEARANCES:
11
                           HON. GARY S. SHAPIRO
    For the Government:
12
                           Acting United States Attorney
                           (327 S. Church Street,
                           Rockford, IL 61101) by MR. JOSEPH C. PEDERSEN
13
                           MR. SCOTT PACCAGNINI
14
                           Assistant U.S. Attorneys
15
                           MR. PAUL E. GAZIANO
    For the Defendant:
                           MS. KRISTIN J. CARPENTER
16
                           Federal Defender Program
17
                           (202 W. State Street,
                            Suite 600
18
                            Rockford, Illinois 61101)
19
    Also Present:
                           MS. TRACI FEGRE
                           Pretrial Services
20
    Court Reporter:
                           Susan G. Bloom
                           7757 Somerset Drive,
21
                           Marengo, Illinois 60152
                           (815) 923-4104
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
            THE CLERK: 12 CR 50027, USA v. Rita
2
    Crundwell.
3
            MR. PEDERSEN: Good morning, Your Honor.
4
    Pedersen and Scott Paccagnini on behalf of the United
    States.
5
6
            THE COURT:
                         Good morning.
7
            MR. GAZIANO:
                          Good morning, Your Honor.
                                                      Paul
8
    Gaziano and Kristin Carpenter of the Federal Defender
9
    Program here on behalf of Ms. Crundwell, who stands
10
    to my right.
11
            THE COURT: All right. Good morning.
12
                 This matter is set for a status, and I
13
    see a plea agreement on my desk, and there was one on
14
    my, a draft on my desk this morning when I returned
15
    from vacation, so I presume that that's what's going
16
    to happen. The matter is going to be a plea of
17
    guilty this morning.
18
            MR. PEDERSEN: That's correct, pursuant to
19
    the plea agreement.
20
            THE COURT: All right. Now, I know the
21
    Marshals Office has said something to people who've
22
    come in to attend this proceeding, make sure that
23
    there's no device that anyone has that's on to record
    or to record any of the proceedings or to photograph
24
25
    or video any part of the proceedings. In addition,
```

```
all cell phones must be turned off. I don't want to
1
2
    be interrupted during the course of this proceeding.
3
    So, with that, people have been warned, and the
4
    Marshals Office will take appropriate action if
5
    something happens in contrary to my order.
6
                 Bear with me just a minute. I haven't
7
    been here for a couple weeks, and so I have to get
8
    materials before me relating to this matter.
9
    (Brief pause.)
10
            THE COURT: All right. I'm ready to proceed.
11
                 This is her first appearance on the
12
    criminal matter before me? I think it is.
13
            MR. GAZIANO: Actually, I think we had an
14
    appearance back in -- well, that was --
15
            THE COURT: It could have been on the civil
    end of it.
16
17
                 So, you are Rita Crundwell; is that
18
    correct?
19
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
20
            THE COURT: This matter has been set today
    for a plea of guilty, if that's what you choose to do
21
22
    in this case. I just want to make sure before I
23
    start the plea proceeding that that's what you intend
24
    to do this morning; is that correct?
25
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes. Your Honor.
```

```
1
            THE COURT: You have discussed this, I would
2
    presume, thoroughly with your lawyers in the case?
3
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes.
            THE COURT: And this also includes a
4
5
    forfeiture allegation in the indictment, and that
6
    forfeiture allegation would be admitted as well; is
7
    that correct?
8
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
9
            THE COURT: I have looked over the draft plea
10
    agreement that had been placed on my desk this
11
    morning, and I have a couple questions before we
12
    proceed with the normal plea proceeding.
                 First of all, I'll address Mr. Pedersen.
13
14
    There is stipulated conduct as I saw in Paragraph 7
15
    of the plea agreement; is that correct?
16
            MR. PEDERSEN: That's correct.
17
            THE COURT: And I'm also aware just by
18
    reading the newspapers that there's a matter pending
19
    in state court, I guess is that Lee County?
20
            MR. GAZIANO: That's Lee County.
21
            THE COURT: Is any of the stipulated conduct
    or relevant conduct a part of the allegations in the
22
23
    state proceeding?
24
            MR. PEDERSEN: I believe that all the counts
25
    of theft that are alleged against her in Lee County
```

are the same conduct that is alleged to have occurred throughout the scheme of fraud in this case.

THE COURT: All right. I'm just trying to -ultimately, at the sentencing hearing, you're going
to ask me to consider conduct that is before a judge
in the state court.

MR. GAZIANO: No.

MR. PEDERSEN: Well, the scheme to defraud that's alleged in the indictment began in 1990 and went all the way through to her arrest. The Lee County State's Attorney's Office has filed charges against her relating to, I believe, a shorter time period, maybe a two-year period. I'm not -- I don't remember the exact dates.

THE COURT: But that conduct you're going to ask that I consider ultimately if she pleads guilty in the sentencing in this case. Is that correct or not?

MR. PEDERSEN: It's all -- the scheme to defraud encompasses all the money she took. They've alleged that she took a portion of that money, so ultimately, I guess, yes.

THE COURT: All right. That's what I'm trying to figure out. I want her to be clear on that, too.

```
Mr. Gaziano, I'll ask you this. You're
1
2
    not her counsel in the state court proceeding.
3
            MR. GAZIANO: We are not, Your Honor.
4
            THE COURT: And do you anticipate, at least
5
    at this point, that might be disposed of before her
6
    sentencing hearing in this case?
7
            MR. GAZIANO: Judge, I have no way of knowing
8
    the answer to that.
9
            THE COURT: All right. One other point that
10
    I wanted to raise in looking at the plea agreement.
11
    The statutory penalty here, and I'll explain this
12
    more fully at the time during the course of the plea
13
    proceeding, but the statutory penalty is a maximum
14
    sentence of 20 years, which is for wire fraud.
15
    that an offense that is a Class C felony?
            MR. PEDERSEN: Correct.
16
17
            THE COURT: Is that one that's probational or
18
    not?
19
            MR. PEDERSEN:
                            Yes.
20
            THE COURT: All right. I didn't see that in
21
    the plea agreement. I want to make it clear that
22
    while it may be probational, there's little
23
    likelihood that she would get probation.
24
                 Do you understand that?
25
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: I've looked at the guidelines,
and the guidelines are significant as far as the
period of imprisonment. But you didn't have it in
there that she was eligible for probation, but I
wanted to let you know that, while eligible, could be
little likelihood of that.
            Do you wish to proceed then with the
proceeding as it relates to both the indictment that
charges a criminal offense and as to the forfeiture
provision? The procedure that I'll be using is that
I will put you under oath, I'll ask you questions.
You must -- my questions are designed so that I know
that you're aware of all the rights that you would be
giving up as far as pleading guilty, and that you do
that knowingly and voluntarily, and that if you gave
me an untruthful answer to any of my questions, the
government could prosecute you for perjury.
             If you don't understand a question just
stop me, and I'll try to explain it. If you want to
talk with Mr. Gaziano at any point in time, just ask
me, and I'll allow you to do so. Do you understand?
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes.
        THE COURT: Raise your right hand.
   (Defendant duly sworn.)
        THE COURT: All right. Give me your full
```

```
1
    name.
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Rita A. Crundwell.
2
3
            THE COURT: And how old are you?
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: 59.
4
5
            THE COURT: And do you still currently reside
6
    in Lee County?
7
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No, sir.
8
            THE COURT: You reside in Illinois?
9
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No, sir.
10
            THE COURT: You've been given permission by
11
    the probation office to establish some other
12
    residence; is that correct?
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
13
14
            THE COURT: Prior to that, I take it you were
15
    a resident of Illinois.
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes.
16
17
            THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
18
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: High school.
19
            THE COURT: And was that Dixon High School?
20
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
21
            THE COURT: And, at the present time, are you
22
    taking any medications?
23
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
24
            THE COURT: What are you taking those for
25
    might I ask?
```

```
1
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Blood pressure, and I
2
    have a bad back.
3
            THE COURT: All right. Pain medication.
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes.
4
5
            THE COURT: Is that, the taking of those
6
    medications, and you've taken them today --
7
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
8
            THE COURT: -- is that in any way causing you
9
    to be, let's say, cloudy or unclear about what I'm
10
    talking to you about today?
11
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No, sir.
12
            THE COURT: You're fully alert as far as you
13
    are concerned; is that correct?
14
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
15
            THE COURT: Have you ever been in a hospital
16
    or under a doctor's care for any mental condition?
17
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No, Your Honor.
18
            THE COURT: All right. I'll find she's
19
    competent to proceed today.
20
                 Have you had enough time to talk with
21
    Mr. Gaziano and Ms. Carpenter about this case?
22
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
23
            THE COURT: And have you told them everything
24
    you know about the charges against you?
25
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
```

```
1
            THE COURT: And you've discussed with them
2
    whether you wish to go to trial on this case or plead
3
    guilty.
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
4
5
            THE COURT: And you're satisfied with their
6
    advise and efforts as your attorney.
7
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
8
            THE COURT: And has any other attorney other
9
    than Ms. Carpenter and Mr. Gaziano given you legal
10
    advice in this case that you're relying on?
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No, Your Honor.
11
12
            THE COURT: Now, when I'm going to advise you
13
    of your rights, I'm advising you of your rights as to
14
    the criminal charge against you, but those rights
15
    also, in most part, will apply to the allegation of
    forfeiture. Do you understand that?
16
17
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
18
            THE COURT: In other words, I'll explain to
19
    you what trial rights you have, and those apply as
20
    well to the forfeiture in this case. Do you
21
    understand?
22
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
23
            THE COURT: I'm going to go over the
24
    indictment with you. The indictment charges you with
25
    wire fraud, and I have to explain to you the material
```

elements of that charge and what the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt. The indictment charges that at one time, you were employed as the comptroller for the City of Dixon, you handled finances for the City of Dixon, and that the City of Dixon maintained a money market account, and that, and that account, the funds were deposited in that account representing certain monies that were deposited for the trust of the City of Dixon, which would include various taxes distributions made by the State of Illinois and other taxes, and that the City of Dixon also maintained an account called a Capital Development Fund, and that was at a particular bank as was the other money market account.

The indictment further charges that beginning at least as early as December 18, 1990, and continuing to on or about April 17, 2012, in Dixon, in the Northern District of Illinois, Western Division, you knowingly, and it's important the government has to allege the dates that I've just given you, and that they have to allege and prove that you knowingly devised and intended to devise a scheme to defraud and obtain money from the City of Dixon by means of making material false and fraudulent representations. And the scheme that is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

alleged in detail in the indictment is, I'm going to summarize that, but it alleges that the scheme was one that you devised to obtain more than \$53 million from the City of Dixon, and that you had opened an account in the name of the City of Dixon called the RSCDA account and maintained that account apparently without the knowledge of the City of Dixon officials. And that you, being comptroller, were one who was in charge of the other two bank accounts that I mentioned, money market account and the Capital Development Fund, and that as a part of the scheme, when that, when the RSCDA account was opened, funds were, belonging to the City of Dixon, were transferred into that account, and that they were used, those funds were used to pay for personal and private business expenses, and that there were transfers made and that they were made from time-to-time over that period of approximately 12 years [sic], and that some of the transfers were made by use of a wire, the wires, and that various property was purchased by you from city funds that were put into the RSCDA account. And that you took, as part of scheme, you took efforts to conceal the fraudulent scheme from various other public officials.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

` And that on or about November 2, 2011, for the purposes of being part of executing the scheme that I've just described, you transmitted by means of a wire communication which went into interstate commerce certain signals which represented a fund transfer in about \$175,000 from the Federal Reserve Bank in St. Paul, Minnesota, to the Federal Reserve Bank in Cincinnati, Ohio, for a credit to the City of Dixon Capital Development Fund at the Fifth Third Bank in Dixon, Illinois. Those are the material allegations that the government has to prove; that is, that this was done knowingly, that it was a scheme that was -where you made fraudulent misrepresentations, and that some of the transactions occurred by use of a wire communication. Do you understand those allegation? DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you have any questions about that? DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No. sir. THE COURT: There is also a forfeiture allegation that is part of the indictment, and that forfeiture allegation list appears to me like probably twenty plus items that the government seeks

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
to forfeit. They're saying that these items were
derived from the fraudulent transactions that I've
just described as far as the criminal charge, and
that these items that they seek to forfeit are both
real estate and personal property. You understand
that as well.
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: And you understand that is
separate, it's a part of the indictment, but it's
actually a separate proceeding of forfeiture that if
you were convicted of the wire fraud, you also would
then have a separate right to contest the forfeiture.
Do you understand that?
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: Is there anything at all that you
want me to explain further as it relates to the
criminal charge or the forfeiture?
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No. sir.
        THE COURT: And you've talked at length with
your attorneys about this; have you not?
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes.
        THE COURT: All right. There is a plea
agreement that has been given to me --
        MR. PEDERSEN: Your Honor, I'm sorry to
interrupt. You indicated as far as the forfeiture
```

```
1
    allegation that there are numerous items that she's
2
    agreeing to forfeiture. There's also -- she's also
3
    agreed as part of the plea agreement to entry of a
    forfeiture judgment --
4
5
            THE COURT: All right.
6
            MR. PEDERSEN: -- in the amount of
7
    $53,740,394 as part of the plea agreement.
8
            THE COURT:
                        That's just part of the plea
9
    agreement.
10
            MR. PEDERSEN: Well, it was alleged as a part
11
    of the indictment, too, that we were seeking a
12
    forfeiture judgment.
13
            THE COURT: All right. Do you understand
14
    that, that you agreed to a judgment in the amount of
15
    approximately $53 million?
16
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
17
            THE COURT: All right. The plea agreement
18
    that I have in front of me is 26 pages, 37
19
    paragraphs. It is dated today, signed by the U.S.
20
    Attorney, by the Assistant U.S. Attorney here, and
21
    it's signed by your attorneys. And did you sign that
    today?
22
23
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
24
            THE COURT: Prior to today, I take it, you
25
    had seen a draft of this agreement?
```

```
DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
1
2
            THE COURT: And have gone over it with your
3
    lawyers.
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes.
4
5
            THE COURT: And you both read it and had it
6
    explained to you by your lawyers?
7
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes. Your Honor.
8
            THE COURT: And did you understand it?
9
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes.
10
            THE COURT: Did anybody force you to sign
11
    this plea agreement?
12
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No, Your Honor.
13
            THE COURT: This agreement, among other
14
    things, has certain agreements that you have made
15
    with the government as far as cooperation with
16
    documents and other materials that you agreed to
17
    disclose to them. Is there anything in that
18
    agreement that you think a government agent made to
19
    you a promise that is not included in the plea
20
    agreement?
21
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No. Your Honor.
22
            THE COURT: Now, if you plead guilty today,
23
    you understand that eventually a sentencing hearing
24
    will be set, that this is called a plea agreement
25
    because there are certain agreements between yourself
```

and the government, but as far as the sentence in this case and as far as the guidelines, which I'll explain to you in a few minutes, I'm the one that will ultimately sentencing you, that there's no agreement as to what the sentence is. And as to the guidelines, even though your lawyer and the government may agree on some guidelines, I have to independently determine whether they're applicable. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And in this case where you would plead guilty, you cannot withdraw the plea of guilty just because the government may recommend, your counsel may recommend certain guidelines being applicable, and they may agree, but I may not agree, and as to the sentence, they may or may not agree what the sentence ought to be in this case, but I'm the one that's going to determine that. I may not following those recommendations, and they may not agree. All I'm asking you is, you understand that I will make those decisions, and you cannot withdraw the plea of guilty just because I don't follow your counsels' recommendations or the government's recommendation. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: There is an obligation that this Court has to determine, and that is, upon a plea of guilty whether there is a factual basis to support the plea of quilty that would support a conviction beyond a reasonable doubt, and I have to be submitted facts that would convince me that the government, as far as the factual basis that they have set forth in the plea agreement, would meet those requirements. have reviewed the factual basis which is set forth in the plea agreement in Paragraph 6 beginning on Page 2 and continuing on to Page 5, and I have determined from reading that that it does provide a factual basis for the plea of guilty, but I want you to answer my questions. First, you've read that factual basis as set forth in Paragraph 6 of the plea agreement. DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And do you have any dispute with those facts? DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No, sir. THE COURT: You committed the crime as stated in those -- in Paragraph 6; is that correct? DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, in Paragraph 7, which starts on Page 5 and goes through into Page 8, there are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
facts which you had stipulated to which we call
relevant conduct, and that is, those are facts that
you haven't been charged with but they're conduct
that I can consider at the time of sentencing.
You're aware of what's in Paragraph 7?
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: And do you have any dispute with
that?
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No, sir.
        MR. PEDERSEN: Your Honor, actually, she is
stipulating that she committed that as an additional
offense even though she was not charged with it --
        THE COURT: All right.
        MR. PEDERSEN: -- so, I guess it might be
considered relevant conduct, but it is actually a
stipulated offense.
        THE COURT: All right. It is -- relevant
conduct uses conduct that is not charged, but it's
conduct that the Court may consider during the course
of a sentencing procedure, if it's relevant and it's
credible.
          Now, in this case, Paragraph 7 constitutes
both relevant conduct, and you're stipulating to
conduct that is a criminal offense.
                                     Do you
understand that?
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
THE COURT: And that's what you intend.
                                                 Ιs
that right, counsel?
        MR. GAZIANO: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: All right. I'm going to just
briefly explain to you what happens assuming you
progress through this proceeding and, ultimately,
enter a plea of guilty and admission of facts which
would support a forfeiture. The case then would be
set for sentencing hearing. And at a sentencing
hearing, I first determine what the guidelines would
be in terms of criminal offense level and in terms of
any prior history that you have.
             In the Federal System, we have a point
system, and that calculates, we're really looking at
two separate factors. One, is the offense that you
committed, and the guidelines will consider all the
facts surrounding that offense, and there'll be
points that are assessed for the commission of the
offense and points which would be potentially
applicable as it relates to all the other
circumstances of the offense, such as the amount of
money that was fraudulently taken. There's more
```

points in a \$53,000,000 fraud than somebody who might

have defrauded \$10,000, and that's how these points

are ultimately added up. Do you understand that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you get points, good points for accepting responsibility in a timely fashion, which the government has said you're entitled to today. The other thing the Court will look at as far as the sentencing guidelines will be any criminal history that you may have for which points are attributed to under the sentencing guidelines.

Once I found those, the total points on each of those factors, then I go to a guideline manual, and that guideline manual will present a guideline range based upon the criminal offense, conduct, and based upon any prior history. That advisory range that I reach is exactly that. advisory. I can sentence you within the guidelines, below the guidelines, or above the guidelines, so long as the sentence is not over the statutory The Court will listen if there are maximum. objections by either the government or by you to the probation officer's calculation of those guidelines, I will listen to those, and I will determine those. I have no idea at this point what those guidelines calculate to be other than what you've, the sides have suggested that there are some points that they agree upon, and there may be some disagreement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
ultimately. I will make that determination and find
what are the guidelines. Then, after that, I'll
determine what's the appropriate sentence in this
case using the statutory factors set forth in
Section 3553(a). So, that's what will happen.
                                                Do
you basically understand that?
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: All right. I'm going to now
going to advise you of your trial rights. First of
all, you have the federal defender representing you,
and they are here to assist you, and they are here as
your lawyers, not just to have you plead guilty, but
if you wanted to go to trial, do you understand that
they would represent you at trial?
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: You also have entered a plea of
not guilty before the magistrate judge in this case.
You have a right to persist or continue in that plea
of not guilty and go to trial on that as well as the
allegation of forfeiture. Do you understand that?
        DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: There are certain rights that you
would have if you selected a trial. First of all,
you would be present at the trial. You would be able
to see and hear all the government witnesses and
```

evidence that they present in the case. Your lawyer would have the opportunity to cross examine those witnesses, and you would have a right to bring in any witnesses in your own behalf. You can use the subpoena power of this court to do so.

You also have a right to testify in the case, if you so desire, but nobody can force you.

You have a Fifth Amendment Right not to testify, and even this Court cannot compel you to testify in the case.

In addition to those rights, the government has the burden to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. You have no burden in the case. The Court would also advise you that you are presumed to be innocent of the charges against you, and if there were a jury trial, I would so inform the jury that you are presumed innocent. Those are the trial rights that you have. And as it relates to the burden in this case, government has the sole burden to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. You don't have to offer any evidence or testify. You can rely on the presumption of innocense. Do you understand all of your trial rights?

DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There are two types of trial.

One is a bench trial, and that's a trial in front of me only. That's where I listen to all the evidence, and I determine after listening to all the evidence whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt. If you choose that method of trial, then you would have to give up your right to trial by jury, your lawyer would have to agree to that, the government would have to agree, and so would I. Do you understand what a bench trial is?

DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The other type of trial is a jury trial, and that's where we bring in potential jurors from this district and division. I question them to make sure that they would be fair and impartial to you and the government. If I felt that any juror would not be fair, I would excuse that potential juror for cause. You and your lawyer have a right to excuse up to ten jurors for any reason except based on that juror's race or that juror's gender, and in that way, you're able to participate in the jury selection process. In addition to that, you're participating by your lawyer and the government submitting questions that I would ask the jurors.

Once we have twelve jurors that I believe are fair and impartial as to both sides, then

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you understand that?

they're sworn in, and we conduct a trial, and the jurors are the sole people who will determine your guilt or innocence, I preside, and I rule on matters of law, and if you're found guilty, I'm the one that will sentence you, but your guilt or innocence would be decided by a jury. And at the end of the case, the jurors go back in secret, all twelve of them, and the only way you can found guilty is if all twelve vote that you're guilty and sign the guilty verdict and return that into open court. If all twelve vote that you're not guilty and return that verdict into court, then you cannot be tried again for this offense. If the jurors are split, some for guilty, some for not guilty, and after a reasonable amount of time has gone by and they still have not been able to unanimously agree to a verdict, I could declare a mistrial, and the government could elect to try you over again. Do you understand what a jury trial is? DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you further understand if you plead guilty, you give up your rights to a trial. There is no trial, but there is a sentencing hearing.

DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Now, if you plead guilty, there are certain other rights that you give up after I sentence you on appeal. There is a process that would follow in any criminal case where after I sentence a person, if they haven't given up all their appeal rights, they have a right to appeal to a higher court who would review what I have done. But when you plead guilty, there are some issues that you could not raise on appeal. You cannot raise any issue as it relates to any trial error that might have occurred because there is no trial. In addition to that, any motion that could have been made or was made prior to trial is waived so that there's no right to appeal any such issue. You can appeal the sentence, my calculation of the guidelines, and any other error that I might have made today or in any of the proceedings that have occurred, but you can't raise those items that I've just told you about. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You said that you understand all of your rights as it relates to criminal trial. Is it your desire to give up those rights?

DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.

MR. PEDERSEN: Your Honor, if I could just interrupt. I don't think the defendant was advised of all the maximum penalties that she faces.

THE COURT: That's right. I was going to -- I usually do that earlier, but I'm glad you did that, reminded me.

This is a Class C felony, and I must advise you, of course, of the potential penalties, and it carries a maximum penalty of imprisonment of 20 years. And I'm telling you what the maximum is, doesn't mean you will get the maximum, but I must advise you, according to rules, what the maximum penalties are. So, the maximum penalty of imprisonment is 20 years. As I've told you, it is one that is probationable. In addition to that, the offense carries a maximum fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greater.

In addition to those penalties, the Court would advise you that after any term of imprisonment that I would sentence you to, you would also be serving a period of time after imprisonment which we call supervised release, and that is not more than three years. So that would be, you would

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be serving that after any period of imprisonment. And supervised release is like being on probation except it follows sentence, and if you violated any of the terms or conditions of your supervised release, you could have it revoked, and I could resentence you to another term of imprisonment. In addition to those penalties, the Court would order restitution to any victims in this offense, and I must assess you a statutory special assessment of \$100. Anyone who is convicted of a felony must pay that \$100 special assessment. So, those are the penalties. Are you -- do you have any question about that? DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No. No. sir. THE COURT: Now, as to your plea in this case, is any -- has anybody forced you in any way to plead guilty today if that's what you choose to do. DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: And as to the giving up your -of the forfeiture allegations, you understand that you would have those same rights to a trial that you have in the forfeiture and that you would be entitled to all those rights and that you would be giving those up and agreeing to a forfeiture in this case, assuming you plead guilty. Is that what you

```
understand?
1
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes, sir.
2
            THE COURT: And that's what you wish to do;
3
    is that correct?
4
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Yes.
5
            THE COURT: All right. As it relates to the
6
7
    charge of wire fraud, which I have explained to you,
8
    at this time do you plead guilty or not guilty?
9
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: Guilty.
10
            THE COURT: And as to the forfeiture
11
    allegation, which I have explained to you, at this
12
    time do you admit or deny those allegations?
13
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: I admit.
14
            THE COURT: All right. Is there anything
15
    else that either counsel would have to add at this
16
    time that I should ask her, or are you prepared for
17
    me to make my findings?
18
            MR. PEDERSEN: No. Your Honor. Not that I'm
19
    aware of.
20
            MR. GAZIANO: Make your findings, Your Honor.
21
            THE COURT: All right. Ms. Crundwell, since
22
    you acknowledge that you are in fact guilty as
23
    charged in the indictment and having the assistance
24
    of able counsel, I will accept the plea of guilty. I
25
    will find that you -- I will also accept the plea
```

agreement, and I will find that you have been advised of your rights, you understand them, the consequences, and the possible punishment, and persist in pleading guilty. I will further find there's a factual basis for the plea of guilty, and the plea of guilty was made voluntarily and without any threats or promises. I'll make the same findings as it relates to the forfeiture allegations. I'm now going to enter judgment of guilty on her plea and the judgment of forfeiture.

The next thing that will happen is that you will be interviewed by a probation officer probably within the next two weeks, and she will ask you questions about the offense, about your background, and about any criminal history that you may have. If you were to materially misled or give false answers, material answers to a probation officer in this process, you could obstruct justice. I advise all persons who plead guilty of this. Mr. Gaziano will be present during the course of that interview with the probation office, so if you're unclear about any question, just stop and ask for his advice.

The defendant has been on, I take it, a recognizance bond since the magistrate judge ordered

```
1
    that some time ago. Is that right, Mr. Gaziano?
2
            MR. GAZIANO: That's correct, Your Honor.
3
            THE COURT: And she would be, remain on that
4
    bond pending a sentencing hearing; is that correct?
5
            MR. PEDERSEN: Well, Your Honor, once she's
6
    entered a plea of guilty, the presumption is
7
    detention unless she can show by clear and convincing
8
    evidence that she's not a flight risk. Based on the
9
    amount that was taken, the defendant's age, the
10
    length of the potential sentence that she's facing,
11
    we believe that she does present a risk of flight,
12
    and that in order for her to be released, she needs
13
    to rebut that presumption by clear and convincing
14
    evidence.
15
            THE COURT: Mr. Gaziano.
16
            MR. GAZIANO: Judge, thank you.
                 The government is correct. 18-1343 does
17
18
    indicate that the presumption does switch. The
19
    burden is not an impossible burden. I would call the
20
    Court's attention to the follow factors:
21
                 Lee County or Northern Illinois is Ms.
    Crundwell's home area. It's where she's born and
22
             Her relatives continue to live here.
23
    raised.
24
    has no place to fee. She has no resources with which
25
    to flee. Had she wanted to flee, she would have done
```

so sometime shortly after April.

During the period of time between April until today's date, I would assert to the Court that Ms. Crundwell has, one, regularly maintained court appointments; two, regularly maintained appointments with us; and, three, has regularly and at a moment's notice, met with government officials to assist and cooperating in and help arrange the sale of numerous assets, all with the hope of recouping some loss for the City of Dixon. She's worked hard with the government, and I believe that the government would even stipulate to the fact, that there have been numerous meetings regarding this. She did not have to do that.

The Pretrial Services report which was originally shown to the Court in April showed that she's had no history of foreign travel and has no passports. She has had -- I believe Ms. Fegre from the Pretrial Services office is here. She would indicate to the Court that there have been no violations of any kind during the time of pretrial release. I think Ms. Crundwell is not a risk to flee. She's been a most cooperative client. She's been exceptional and all times and has worked to encounter this matter on a timely basis.

```
1
            THE COURT: Thank you.
2
                 The Pretrial Services officer here,
3
    would you step forward, please? Identify yourself
4
    for the record.
5
            MS. FEGRE: Yes, Your Honor. Traci Fegre
    with U.S. Pretrial Services.
6
7
            THE COURT: And as I understand it, you have
8
    not been her direct supervisor, that she had been
9
    supervised during the period of time that she's been
10
    on release to this point in time by somebody, some
    other probation -- Pretrial Services officer.
11
12
            MS. FEGRE: Yes, Your Honor. I have been in
13
    regular contact with that officer helping to
14
    monitoring Ms. Crundwell, and they have reported that
15
    she's been in compliance. There's been no issues or
16
    violations reported.
17
            THE COURT: Is there any reason that she or
18
    you would change their recommendation?
19
            DEFENDANT CRUNDWELL: No, Your Honor.
20
            THE COURT: And you believe that she meets
21
    the requirements of the statute for continued
22
    release.
23
            MR. FEGRE: Yes, Your Honor. We would not
24
    recommend any changes to bond at this time.
25
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
```

```
MS. FEGRE: You're welcome.
1
2
            THE COURT: The Court reviewed the initial
3
    report which was, went back --
            MR. GAZIANO: April.
4
5
            THE COURT: -- did you say April of, was it
6
    of this year?
7
            MR. GAZIANO:
                          Yes.
8
            THE COURT: I've reviewed that and listened
9
    to what the government has said. I have plenty of
10
    these cases that are financial fraud cases, and in
11
    most, if not all the cases, unless some evidence can
12
    be shown that rebuts what Mr. Gaziano has said here,
13
    I think based upon what the probation -- Pretrial
14
    Services officer has said, the defendant has met the
15
    burden to show by clear and convincing evidence that,
16
    one, she's not a potential danger to the public by
17
    continued release, and, two, that there's no evidence
18
    she is a flight risk, therefore, the government --
19
    denying any motion by the government for her
20
    incarceration at this time.
21
                 The next remaining item will be to set a
22
    sentencing date. Do we have a -- usually you've
23
    talked with the probation office. When can they, it
24
    usually takes 90 days, when can they be finished and
25
    ready?
```

```
1
            THE CLERK: February 14th.
            THE COURT: As both counsel know, I'm gone
2
3
    quite a bit of the time during the winter being the
4
    senior judge taking a reduced caseload. I will be
5
    back for a period of time around the 14th.
6
    sentencing would have to be either within those two
7
    or three days. I'm going to look and see what dates
8
    those would actually be. I think I'm here on the 13,
9
    14, 15, and 18th, but I think the 18th is a holiday.
10
            THE CLERK:
                         That's correct.
11
            THE COURT: So, I'm going to ask you because
12
    there may be things that you wish to prepare for
13
    that's going to take longer than that, longer than
14
    being ready on the 14th.
15
            MR. PEDERSEN: The government would be ready.
    I would just advise the Court, let you know, at this
16
17
    point we anticipate calling at least one witness in
18
    regards to the dispute over the guideline
19
    calculations on the money laundering. Also, we
20
    anticipate calling three or four witnesses in
21
    aggravation as far as the Court's consideration of
22
    the 3553(a) factors.
23
            THE COURT: Are you talking a half day then?
24
            MR. PEDERSEN:
                           Yes.
25
            THE COURT: At most?
```

```
1
            MR. PEDERSEN: Yes.
2
            THE COURT: All right.
3
                 Mr. Gaziano, can you be prepared on one
4
    of those dates that I gave?
5
            MR. GAZIANO: I just had a question. When
6
    would the presentence investigation be delivered to
7
    us?
8
            THE COURT: That would be -- you'll have the
9
    timetable before -- the normal timetable.
10
            MR. GAZIANO: I was just confused when she
11
    said February 14th.
            THE COURT: That would be when I could set
12
13
    the sentencing, and the report would be prepared
14
    usually a month before that.
15
            MR. GAZIANO: Judge, our office is available.
16
            THE COURT: All right. Let me, I have a
    calendar in front of me, Jen, and I know I've already
17
18
    set some sentencing matters for that week. What day
19
    looks best rather than Wednesday, the day, the first
20
    day back. How about the Thursday or Friday?
21
            THE CLERK: You currently have nothing
22
    scheduled on Thursday, the 14th. Friday, you have
23
    one sentencing at 9:30.
24
            THE COURT: Let's do it on Thursday.
25
                 Do you want to do it at 9:00 o'clock or
```

```
1
    would you prefer 1:30?
            MR. GAZIANO: Well, Judge, I would prefer
2
3
    9:00 o'clock because if we're wrong in our estimate.
4
            THE COURT:
                        Okay. All right. We will set
5
    this matter for sentencing on the 14th; is that what
6
    you said, Jen?
7
            THE CLERK: Yes.
8
            THE COURT: At 9:00 o'clock.
                                           Obviously, I
9
    will expect that the probation office finishes the
10
    Presentence Investigation Report. They usually do it
11
    at least a month before that time, not longer. And
12
    they'll be deadlines for objections and for response
13
    to any objections. Make sure those are timely.
                                                      Ι
14
    don't want to have to continue this. It's my usual
15
    practice is not to continue a sentencing hearing
16
    unless during both your evaluations there's a problem
17
    that you both jointly think there ought to be a
18
    continuance. Then I'll consider that.
19
                 All right. Is there anything else at
20
    this time?
21
            MR. PEDERSEN: No, Your Honor.
22
            MR. GAZIANO: No, Your Honor.
23
            THE COURT: I'm going to advise the defendant
24
    that I've allowed you to remain out on the same bond
25
    that you were on under the same conditions that the
```

```
1
    magistrate judge set. You're to report, and you're
2
    under those same conditions. Make sure you don't do
3
    anything that would jeopardize that or being in
4
    violation of the magistrate judge's order allowing
5
    you to be released.
                 So, with that, I have nothing more in
6
7
                We'll see you on the 14th.
    this case.
8
             MR. GAZIANO:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
9
             MR. PEDERSEN: Thank you.
10
             THE COURT: That's all.
11
                 I've got another case that begins in
12
    five minutes. I'm going to take a recess, and then
13
    we will proceed with that.
14
                         (Which were all the proceedings
15
                          had in the above-entitled cause
16
                          on the day and date aforesaid.)
17
18
       I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct
19
    transcript from the record of proceedings in the
20
    above-entitled matter.
21
22
23
                          Susan G. Bloom, CSR
24
                          No. 084-001546
25
```